LOADED DIFFERENTIAL AND FREDHOLM
INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH NONLOCAL
INTEGRAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

A direct method for the exact solution of loaded differential or Fredholm Integro-Differential Equations (IDEs) with nonlocal integral boundary conditions is proposed. We consider the abstract operator equations of the form $Bu = Au - g\Psi(u) = f$ with abstract nonlocal boundary conditions. In this paper we investigate the correctness of the equation $Bu = f$ and its exact solution in closed form.
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Introduction

In recent years the theory of loaded functional, differential and integro-differential equations (IDEs) has been advanced. These equations describe problems in optimal control, regulation of the layer of soil water and ground moisture, underground fluid and gas dynamics, mathematical biology, economics, ecology, and pure mathematics [1–7]. An equation is called loaded equation if it contains the solution function on a manifold with dimension less than the dimension of domain of this function [2]. For example, an ordinary loaded differential equation is represented by

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y) + \psi(y(x_j)), x \in [0, 1], x_j \in [0, 1],$$

where $x_j$ are fixed points. Boundary value problems (BVPs) for differential and IDEs with nonlocal boundary conditions arise in various fields of mechanics, physics, biology, biotechnology, chemical engineering, medical science, finance and others (see [8–15]). Fredholm IDEs with nonlocal integral boundary conditions and ordinary differential operators, probably, first were considered by J.D. Tamarkin [16]. Nonlocal BVPs for the ordinary differential equations with integral boundary conditions were studied in [17–19]. Nonlocal BVPs for the partial differential equations were investigated in [8, 20–25]. Loaded nonlocal BVPs for ordinary differential equations were considered in [7, 26]. This work is a generalization of the papers [27–32], where integral
boundary conditions have not been considered. The problems of the type
\[ Au - g \Psi(u) = f, \quad \Phi(u) = NF(Au) \]
arise naturally from A.A. Dezin, R.O. Oinarov extensions of linear operators [33, 34], which are not restrictions of a maximal operator, unlike the classical M.G. Krein, J.Von. Neuman extensions [35, 36] in Hilbert space and M. Otelbaev [37] in Banach space. We investigate the abstract operator equations of the form
\[ Bu = Au - g \Psi(u) = f \]
with abstract nonlocal boundary conditions \( D(B) = \{ u \in D(A) : \Phi(u) = NF(Au) \} \), where \( B : X \to Y \), \( X, Y \) are Banach spaces, \( g \in Y^n \) is a vector, \( F \in Y^n \), \( \Psi \in X_A^* \) are vector functionals, \( N \) is a matrix, \( A \) is a differential operator with finite-dimensional kernel and \( g \Psi(u) \) is a loaded part of \( Bu = f \) or a Fredholm integro-differential operator defined on the space with graph norm \( X_A = (D(A), \| \cdot \|_{X_A}) \). If \( g = 0 \), we have a differential equation with nonlocal integral boundary conditions. The technique presented here allows us to find exact solutions in closed form for loaded Differential or Fredholm Integro-Differential Equations with separable kernels and integral boundary conditions. This technique is simple to use and can be easily incorporated to any Computer Algebra System (CAS). The essential ingredient in our approach is the extension of the main idea in [34]. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall some basic terminology and notation about operators. In Section 2 we prove the main general results. Finally, in Section 3 we discuss some examples of loaded differential and IDEs which show the usefulness of our technique.

1. Terminology and notation

Let \( X \) be a complex Banach space and \( X^* \) its adjoint space, i.e. the set of all complex-valued linear and bounded functionals on \( X \). We denote by \( f(x) \) the value of \( f \) on \( x \). We write \( D(A) \) and \( R(A) \) for the domain and the range of the operator \( A \), respectively. An operator \( A_2 \) is said to be an extension of an operator \( A_1 \), or \( A_1 \) is said to be a restriction of \( A_2 \), in symbol \( A_1 \subset A_2 \), if \( D(A_2) \supseteq D(A_1) \) and \( A_1 x = A_2 x \), for all \( x \in D(A_1) \). An operator \( A : X \to Y \) is called closed if for every sequence \( x_n \) in \( D(A) \) converging to \( x_0 \) with \( Ax_n \to f_0 \), it follows that \( x_0 \in D(A) \) and \( Ax_0 = f_0 \). A closed operator \( A_0 : X \to Y \) is called minimal if \( R(A_0) \neq Y \) and the inverse \( A_0^{-1} \) exists on \( R(A_0) \) and is continuous. A closed operator \( A \) is called maximal if \( R(A) = Y \) and \( \ker A \neq \{0\} \). An operator \( \hat{A} \) is called correct if \( R(\hat{A}) = Y \) and the inverse \( \hat{A}^{-1} \) exists and is con-
continuous. The problem \( \hat{A}x = f \) is said to be correct when the operator \( \hat{A} \) is correct. If \( \Psi_i \in X^* \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), then we denote by \( \Psi = \text{col}(\Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_m) \) and \( \Psi(x) = \text{col}(\Psi_1(x), \ldots, \Psi_m(x)) \). Let \( q = (q_1, \ldots, q_m) \) be a vector of \( X^m \). We will denote by \( \Psi(q) \) the \( m \times m \) matrix whose \( i, j \)-th entry is the value of functional \( \Psi_i \) on element \( q_j \) and by \( I_m \) and \( 0_m \) the identity \( m \times m \) and the zero \( m \times m \) matrices, respectively. By \( \tilde{0} \) we will denote the zero column vector.

2. Loaded differential and Fredholm IDE with nonlocal integral boundary conditions

**Lemma 2.1.** Let the operator \( A: C[0, 1] \to C[0, 1] \) be defined by \( Au(t) = u'(t) \), \( D(A) = C^1[0, 1] \), \( \|u(t)\| = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u(t)| \). Then:

(i) The operator \( A \) is closed and \( A^2: C[0, 1] \to C[0, 1] \) is determined by \( A^2u(t) = u''(t) \), \( D(A^2) = C^2[0, 1] \) and closed too.

(ii) For all \( u(t) \in C^2[0, 1] \) the next inequality holds

\[
\|u'(t)\| \leq \|u''(t)\| + 2\|u(t)\|. \tag{1}
\]

**Proof.** (i)-(ii) Let \( x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1] \). Then from the equality

\[
u'(x_2) - u'(x_1) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u''(x) \, dx
\]
we obtain

\[
u'(x_2) - u'(x_1) \leq \|u''(x)\|,
\]

\[
\int_0^1 u'(x_2) \, dx_1 - \int_0^1 u'(x_1) \, dx_1 \leq \|u''(x)\|,
\]

\[
u'(x_2) \leq \|u''(x)\| + u(1) - u(0),
\]

\[
\max_{x_2 \in [0,1]} |u'(x_2)| \leq u''(x) + 2\|u(x)\|.
\]

From the last relation follows (1). Now we will prove the closedness of \( A^2 \). Let \( u_n(t) \in D(A^2) \), \( u_n(t) \to u(t) \), \( n \to \infty \) and \( A^2u_n(t) = u_n''(t) \to y(t) \), \( n \to \infty \). Put \( y_n(t) = u_n''(t) \). Then

\[
\int_0^1 y_n(x) \, dx = \int_0^1 u_n''(x) \, dx = u_n''(t) - u_n''(0)
\] \tag{2}

From (1) we get

\[
\|u_n'(t) - u'_m(t)\| \leq \|u_n''(t) - u_m''(t)\| + 2\|u_n(t) - u_m(t)\|.
\]
From this inequality, because of $u_n(t)$ and $u_n''(t)$ are fundamental sequences in $C[0, 1]$, it follows that $u_n'(t)$ is also a fundamental sequence in Banach space $C[0, 1]$. Then there exists a function $v(t) \in C[0, 1]$ such that $u_n'(t) \to v(t), n \to \infty$. The last implies $u_n'(0) \to v(0), n \to \infty$. For $n \to \infty$ from (2) we get

$$\int_0^t y(t) \, dx = v(t) - v(0).$$

It is evident that $v(t) \in C^1[0, 1]$ and $v'(t) = y(t)$. From $u_n(t) \to u(t), u_n'(t) \to v(t), n \to \infty$, since the operator $A$ is closed, we get $u(t) \in C^1[0, 1]$ and $u'(t) = v(t)$. But $v(t) \in C^1[0, 1]$ and $v'(t) = y(t)$. Hence $u(t) \in C^2[0, 1]$, $u''(t) = y(t)$ and $A^2$ is a closed operator.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $A$, $B$, $C$, $D$, $E$ be $n \times n$ matrices and $G = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$.

Then

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} A \pm EC & B \pm ED \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

**Proof.** Let $F = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & E \\ 0_n & I_n \end{pmatrix}$. In this case $|F| = |F^{-1}| = 1$. So $|F \cdot G| = |F| \cdot |G| = |G|$ and $|F^{-1} \cdot G| = |F^{-1}| \cdot |G| = |G|$. From the last relations we get (3).

The next theorem easy follows from Theorem 3 [32].

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $u(t) \in W^l_2(a, b)$, $h_j(t) \in L_2(a, b), j = 0, 1, ..., l, (a_i, b_i) \subseteq (a, b), i = 1, ..., n$ and the functional

$$\Psi_i(u) = \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^l u^{(j)}(t) \overline{h_j(t)} \right] \, dt. \tag{4}$$

Then $\Psi_i(u)$ is linear and bounded on $W^l_2(a, b)$ and hence $\Psi_i \in [C^l[a, b]]^n, i = 1, ..., n$.

We generalize Theorem 1 [32] without using the linear independence of components of the vector $\Psi$. 

**Theorem 2.4.** Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be complex Banach spaces and $\hat{A} : X \to Y$ a linear correct operator with $D(\hat{A}) \subseteq Z \subseteq X$. Further let the components of the vector $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)$, be linearly independent in $Y$ and the vector $\Psi = \text{col}(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n) \in [Z^*]^n$. Then:
(i) The operator $B$ defined by
\[ Bu = \hat{A}u - g\Psi(u) = f, \quad D(B) = D(\hat{A}), \quad f \in Y. \tag{5} \]
is injective if and only if
\[ \text{det} W = \text{det}[I_n - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)] \neq 0, \tag{6} \]

(ii) If $B$ is injective, then for any $f \in Y$ the unique solution of (5) is given by
\[ u = B^{-1}f = \hat{A}^{-1}f + (\hat{A}^{-1}g)[I_n - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)]^{-1}\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f). \tag{7} \]

Proof. (i). Let \( \text{det} W \neq 0 \). Since \( f, g_i \in R(\hat{A}), \ i = 1, \ldots, m \), by using (5) we have
\[ u - \hat{A}^{-1}g\Psi(u) = \hat{A}^{-1}f, \tag{8} \]
\[ \Psi(u) - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)\Psi(u) = \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f), \]
\[ [I_n - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)]\Psi(u) = \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f), \tag{9} \]
\[ \Psi(u) = [I_n - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)]^{-1}\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) \text{ for all } f \in R(\hat{A}). \tag{10} \]

Since \( \Psi(u) \) is defined uniquely by (9) for all \( f \in R(\hat{A}) = Y \), then by substituting (10) into (8), we obtain the unique solution (7) of the problem (5) for all \( f \in Y \). So \( B \) is an injective operator and \( R(\hat{A}) = Y \). Conversely. Let \( \text{det} W = 0 \). Then there exists a vector \( \vec{c} = col(c_1, \ldots, c_n) \neq 0 \) such that \( W\vec{c} = 0 \).

For \( u_0 = \hat{A}^{-1}g\vec{c} \neq 0 \) follows that
\[ Bu_0 = \hat{A}u_0 - g\Psi(u_0) = g\vec{c} - g\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)\vec{c} = \]
\[ = g[I_n - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)]\vec{c} = gW\vec{c} = g\vec{0} = 0. \]

Hence \( u_0 = \hat{A}^{-1}g\vec{c} \in \ker B \neq \{0\} \). Note that \( u_0 \neq \vec{0} \), because of \( g_1, \ldots, g_n \) are linearly independent and the operator \( \hat{A} \) is correct. So \( B \) is not injective. So the statement (i) holds.

(ii). We have proved in (i) that in the case \( \text{det} W \neq 0 \) Equations (8)–(10) hold. Substituting (10) into (8), we obtain the unique solution (7) of the problem (5) for all \( f \in Y \). This means that \( R(B) = Y \). The boundedness of \( B^{-1} \) on \( Y \) follows from the boundedness of the operator \( \hat{A}^{-1} \) and \( \Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_n \). Hence \( B \) is correct.

Remark 2.5. The linear independence of \( g_1, \ldots, g_n \) has been used only to prove the necessary condition for injectivity of the operator \( B \).

Now we generalize this theorem on the case of perturbed boundary conditions.
Let $X$, $Y$ be Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear closed operator. We remind that for $A$, the graph norm associated with $A$, is defined by:

$$\|x\|_{X^A} = \|x\|_X + \|Ax\|_Y \quad \forall x \in D(A)$$ (11)

and that the set

$$X_A = (D(A), \| \cdot \|_{X^A})$$ (12)

is a Banach space. Note that usually in the previous theorem $Z = X^A$.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let $X$, $Y$ be complex Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ a maximal linear operator with finite dimensional kernel $z = (z_1, ..., z_m)$ which is a basis of ker $A$ and $\hat{A}$ a correct restriction of $A$ defined by

$$\hat{A} \subset A, D(\hat{A}) = \{u \in D(A) : \Phi(u) = 0\}. \quad (13)$$

Suppose also that the components of the vector functionals $\Phi = \text{col}(\Phi_1, ..., \Phi_m)$, $\Psi = \text{col}(\Psi_1, ..., \Psi_m)$, $F = \text{col}(F_1, ..., F_m)$, belong to $X^*_A$, $X^*_A$, $Y^*$, respectively, where $\Phi_1, ..., \Phi_m$ biorthogonal to $z_1, ..., z_m$ and that the vector $g = (g_1, ..., g_m) \in Y^m$ and $N$ is an $m \times m$ matrix, $g_1, ..., g_m$ linearly independent. Then:

(i) The operator $B$ defined by

$$Bu = Au - g\Psi(u) = f, \quad f \in Y,$$

$$D(B) = \{u \in D(A) : \Phi(u) = NF(Au)\},$$ (14)

is injective if and only if

$$\det L_2 = \det [I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) - \Psi(z)NF(g)] \neq 0.$$ (15)

(ii) If $B$ is injective, then $B$ is correct and for all $f \in Y$ the unique solution of (14) is given by

$$u = B^{-1}f = \hat{A}^{-1}f + p\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) + [z + p\Psi(z)]NF(f), \text{ where}$$

$$p = [\hat{A}^{-1}g + zNF(g)]L^{-1}_2.$$ (17)

**Proof.** (i). Since $z \in [\ker A]^m$, $\Phi(z) = I_m$, the problem (14) is written as

$$Bu = A(u - zNF(Au)) - g\Psi(u) = f, \quad f \in Y,$$

$$D(B) = \{u \in D(A) : \Phi(u - zNF(Au)) = 0\},$$ (18)

Then $u - zNF(Au) \in D(\hat{A})$ for every $u \in D(B)$ and

$$Bu = \hat{A} (u - zNF(Au)) - g\Psi(u) = f,$$ (19)

$$u - zNF(Au) - \hat{A}^{-1}g\Psi(u) = \hat{A}^{-1}f,$$ (20)
\[
\Psi(u) - \Psi(z)NF(Au) - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)\Psi(u) = \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f),
\]
\[
[I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)]\Psi(u) - \Psi(z)NF(Au) = \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f),
\]
\[
-F(g)\Psi(u) + F(Au) = F(f).
\]

From (21), (22) we obtain
\[
\left[
\begin{array}{cc}
I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) & -\Psi(z)N \\
-F(g) & I_m
\end{array}
\right]
\left[
\begin{array}{c}
\Psi(u) \\
F(Au)
\end{array}
\right]
= \left[
\begin{array}{c}
\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) \\
F(f)
\end{array}
\right].
\]

Denoting the matrix from the left by \( L \) and using the formula (3), where we take \( E = \Psi(z)N \), we obtain
\[
\det L = \det \left[
\begin{array}{cc}
I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) & -\Psi(z)N \\
-F(g) & I_m
\end{array}
\right]
= \pm \det \left[
\begin{array}{cc}
I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) & -\Psi(z)N \\
-F(g) & I_m
\end{array}
\right] = \det L_2.
\]

Let \( \det L_2 \neq 0 \) and \( u \in \ker B \). Then
\[
Bu = Au - g\Psi(u) = 0, \Phi(u) = NF(Au), \det L \neq 0.
\]

Now using Equations (19)–(23), where \( f = 0 \) we get
\[
\left[
\begin{array}{cc}
I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) & -\Psi(z)N \\
-F(g) & I_m
\end{array}
\right]
\left[
\begin{array}{c}
\Psi(u) \\
F(Au)
\end{array}
\right]
= \left[
\begin{array}{c}
\vec{0} \\
\vec{0}
\end{array}
\right].
\]

From the above equation, since \( \det L = \pm \det L_2 \neq 0 \) follows that \( \Psi(u) = \vec{0}, \)
\( F(Au) = \vec{0} \). Substituting these values into (24), we obtain \( \Phi(u) = \vec{0} \) and
\( Bu = \hat{A}u = 0 \). From \( \hat{A}u = 0 \), since \( \hat{A} \) is correct follows that \( u = 0 \). This proves
that \( \ker B = \{0\} \). So \( B \) is injective.

Conversely. Let \( \det L_2 = 0 \). Then there exists a vector \( \vec{c} = col(c_1, \ldots, c_m) \neq \vec{0} \) such that \( L_2 \vec{c} = 0 \). Observe that the element \( u_0 = \hat{A}^{-1}g\vec{c} + zNF(g)\vec{c} \neq 0 \), otherwise the components of \( g \) will be linearly dependent, which contradicts the hypothesis that \( g_1, \ldots, g_m \) are linearly independent. Note that \( u_0 \in D(B) \), since \( \Phi(u_0) = NF(g)\vec{c}, F(Au_0) = F(g)\vec{c} \) and so \( \Phi(u_0) - NF(Au_0) = NF(g)\vec{c} - NF(g)\vec{c} = \vec{0} \). We will show now that \( u_0 \in \ker B \).
\[ Bu_0 = Au_0 - g\Psi(u_0) = g\tilde{c} - g\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g\tilde{c} + zNF(g)\tilde{c}) = g\tilde{c} - g\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)\tilde{c} - g\Psi(z)NF(g)\tilde{c} = g[I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) - \Psi(z)NF(g)]\tilde{c} = gL_2\tilde{c} = g\tilde{0}. \]

Such \( u_0 \in \ker B \). Hence \( u_0 \in D(B) \) and \( u_0 \in \ker B \). So \( \ker B \neq \{0\} \) and \( B \) is not injective. The statement (i) holds.

(ii) Let \( \det L_2 \neq 0 \). Then \( \det L \neq 0 \) and from (23) we obtain

\[ \begin{pmatrix} \Psi(u) \\ F(Au) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}) & -\Psi(z)N \\ -F(g) & I_m \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) \\ F(f) \end{pmatrix}. \]

We put

\[ L^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) & -\Psi(z)N \\ -F(g) & I_m \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \]

Then

\[ [I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)]K_{11} - \Psi(z)NK_{21} = I_m, \quad (27) \]
\[ [I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)]K_{12} - \Psi(z)NK_{22} = 0_m, \quad (28) \]
\[ -F(g)K_{11} + K_{21} = 0_m, \quad (29) \]
\[ -F(g)K_{12} + K_{22} = I_m. \quad (30) \]

From (30) we obtain \( K_{21} = F(g)K_{11} \) and from (27), (29), we get

\[ [I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)]K_{11} - \Psi(z)NF(g)K_{11} = I_m, \]
\[ [I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) - \Psi(z)NF(g)]K_{11} = I_m, \]
\[ K_{11} = L_2^{-1}, \quad K_{21} = F(g) L_2^{-1}. \]

From (28), since \( K_{22} = I_m + F(g)K_{12} \), we have

\[ [I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g)]K_{12} - \Psi(z)N[I_m + F(g)K_{12}] = 0_m, \]
\[ [I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) - \Psi(z)NF(g)]K_{12} = \Psi(z)N, \]
\[ K_{12} = L_2^{-1} \Psi(z)N. \]

The last equation and \( K_{22} = I_m + F(g)K_{12} \) implies \( K_{22} = I_m + F(g) L_2^{-1} \Psi(z)N \). So
Now we rewrite (26) in the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\Psi(u) \\
F(Au)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
L_2^{-1} & L_2^{-1}\Psi(z)N \\
F(g)L_2^{-1} & I_m + F(g)L_2^{-1}\Psi(z)N
\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}
\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) \\
F(f)
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (31)

Then

\[
\Psi(u) = L_2^{-1}\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) + L_2^{-1}\Psi(z)NF(f),
\]

\[
F(Au) = F(g)L_2^{-1}\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) + [I_m + F(g)L_2^{-1}\Psi(z)N]F(f).
\]

Substituting these values into (20) we get the solution of the problem (14):

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{A}^{-1}f + zN\{F(g)L_2^{-1}\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) + [I_m + F(g)L_2^{-1}\Psi(z)N]F(f)\} \\
-\hat{A}^{-1}g\{L_2^{-1}\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) + L_2^{-1}\Psi(z)NF(f)\},
\end{align*}
\]

From the last equation for every \(f \in Y\) follows the unique solution (16) of (14). Because \(f\) in (16) is arbitrary, we obtain \(R(B) = Y\). Since the operator \(\hat{A}^{-1}\) and the functionals \(F_1, \ldots, F_m, \Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_m\) are bounded, from (16) follows the boundedness of \(B^{-1}\). Hence, the operator \(B\) is correct if and only if (15) holds and the unique solution of (14) is given by (16). The theorem is proved.

From the previous theorem for \(g = 0\) follows the next corollary which is useful for solving differential equations with integral boundary conditions.

**Corollary 2.7.** Let \(X, Y\) be complex Banach spaces, the operators \(A, \hat{A}\), the vector \(z = (z_1, \ldots, z_m)\) and vector functionals \(\Phi = \text{col}(\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_m)\), \(\Psi = \text{col}(\Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_m)\) and the matrix \(N\) are defined as in Theorem 2.6. Then:

(i) The operator \(B\) defined by

\[
Bu = Au = f, f \in Y, D(B) = \{u \in D(A) : \Phi(u) = NF(Au)\}.
\] (32)

is correct and for all \(f \in Y\) the unique solution of (32) is given by

\[
u = B^{-1}f = \hat{A}^{-1}f + zNF(f).
\] (33)

**Remark 2.8.** In applications we encounter operators \(B_1 : X \to Y\) of the form

\[
Au - g_1\Psi_1(u) - \ldots - g_k\Psi_k(u) = f, k \leq m,
\]
\[ \Phi_1(u) = v_{11}F_1(Au) + \ldots + v_{1m}F_m(Au) \]
\[ \ldots \ldots \ldots \]
\[ \Phi_m(u) = v_{m1}F_1(Au) + \ldots + v_{mm}F_m(Au) \] (34)

where \( X, Y \) are Banach spaces, \( \Phi_i, F_i, \Psi_j, i = 1, \ldots, m, j = 1, \ldots, k \) are functionals. Then we are interested in knowing whether the operator \( B_1 \) is \( B \) type operator defined by

\[ Bu = Au - g\Psi(u) = f, f \in Y, \]
\[ D(B) = \{ u \in D(A) : \Phi(u) = NF(Au) \}, \] (35)

and, therefore, Theorem 2.6 applies. For this purpose, we proceed as follows:

1.1. We check that \( m = k \) and show that the operator \( A \) is maximal, namely \( A \) is closed with \( \dim \ker A = m \) and \( R(A) = Y \).

1.2. We find the basis \( z_1, \ldots, z_m \) of \( \ker A \) and the Banach space \( X_A = (D(A), || \cdot ||_{X_A}), \) where \( ||u||_{X_A} = ||u||_X + ||Au||_Y \),

1.3. We show that the operator \( \hat{A} \) defined by

\[ \hat{A}u = Au, D(\hat{A}) = \{ u \in D(A) : \Phi(u) = 0 \}, \]

is correct and find the inverse operator \( \hat{A}^{-1} \),

1.4. We find the vector \( g \), the element \( f \), the \( m \times m \) matrix \( N \), the functional vectors \( \Phi(u) = \text{col}(\Phi_1(u), \ldots, \Phi_m(u)) \),

\[ \Psi(u) = \text{col}(\Psi_1(u), \ldots, \Psi_m(u)), F(Au) = \text{col}(F_1(Au), \ldots, F_m(Au)) \] and

\[ F(f) = \text{col}(F_1(f), \ldots, F_m(f)) \],

1.5. We check the condition \( \Phi(z) = I_m \),

1.6. We check the conditions \( F_i \in Y^*, \Phi_i \) and \( \Psi_i \in X_A^*, i = 1, \ldots, m \).

If all these conditions hold true then we apply Theorem 2.6. If one of these steps fails, then \( B_1 \) is not identified as \( B \)-type operator and, therefore, the theory can not be applied.

To prove that \( B \) is an injective and correct operator, we proceed as follows:

2.1. We calculate the vector \( \hat{A}^{-1} g = (\hat{A}^{-1} g_1, \ldots, \hat{A}^{-1} g_m) \),

2.2. We calculate the \( m \times m \) matrices

\[
\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1} g) = \begin{pmatrix}
\Psi_1(\hat{A}^{-1} g_1) & \ldots & \Psi_1(\hat{A}^{-1} g_m) \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\Psi_m(\hat{A}^{-1} g_1) & \ldots & \Psi_m(\hat{A}^{-1} g_m)
\end{pmatrix},
\]
\[ L_2 = I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) - \Psi(z)NF(g). \]

If the determinant \( \det L_2 \neq 0 \), then \( B \) is an injective and correct operator.

To find the solution of (34), we proceed as follows:

3.1. We calculate the inverse matrix \( L_2^{-1} \) and the element \( \hat{A}^{-1}f \),

3.2. We calculate the vectors \( \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) = col(\Psi_1(\hat{A}^{-1}f), ..., \Psi_m(\hat{A}^{-1}f)) \),

\[ F(f) = col(F_1(f), ..., F_m(f)), \]

\[ p = [\hat{A}^{-1}g + zNF(g)]L_2^{-1}. \]

3.3. We find the solution of (34) by

\[ u = B^{-1}f = \hat{A}^{-1}f + p\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) + [z + p\Psi(z)]NF(f). \]

4. If \( k < m \), then we take as vector \( g \) the vector \( \tilde{g} = (\tilde{g}_1, ..., \tilde{g}_m) \), where \( \tilde{g}_i = g_i, i = 1, ..., k, \tilde{g}_i = 0, i = k + 1, ..., m. \) As functional vector \( \Psi(u) \) we can take \( \hat{\Psi}(u) = (\hat{\Psi}_1(u), ..., \hat{\Psi}_m(u)) \) with linearly independent elements, where \( \hat{\Psi}_i(u) = \psi_i(u), i = 1, ..., k, \) and \( \hat{\Psi}_i(u), i = k+1, ..., m \) are arbitrary functionals.

3. Examples

Example 3.1. The next problem with loaded differential equation and nonlocal integral boundary conditions on \( C[0, 1] \)

\[ u''(t) - 4t u(1/2) - (2t + 1) u(1) = 1 - 5t, \quad (36) \]

\[ u(0) = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 x^2 u''(x) dx, \quad u'(0) = -\frac{1}{7} \int_0^1 (3x + 2) u''(x) dx, \quad (37) \]

is correct and the unique solution of (36), (37) is given by

\[ u(t) = t^2 - t + 1. \quad (38) \]

Proof. First we rewrite the boundary conditions (37) in the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  u(0) \\
u'(0)
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
  3/2 & 0 \\
  0 & -1/7
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  \int_0^1 x^2 u''(x) dx \\
  \int_0^1 (3x+2) u''(x) dx
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(39)

If we compare (36), (39) with (14), it is natural to take \( X = Y = C[0, 1], \)
\( Au(t) = \hat{A}u(t) = u''(t), D(A) = C^2[0, 1], \) The operator \( A, \) by Lemma 3.1, is closed. Furthermore \( A \) is a maximal operator, \( X_A = (C^2[0, 1], ||\cdot||_{X_A}), \) where \( ||u(t)||_{X_A} = ||u(t)|| + ||u''(t)||. \) The set \( z = (1, t) \) constitute a basis of \( \ker A. \) As the operator \( \hat{A} \) it is natural to take \( \hat{A}u(t) = Au(t) = u''(t), D(\hat{A}) = \{u(t) \in \in D(A) : u(0) = u'(0) = 0\}. \) The initial problem \( \hat{A}u(t) = f(t) \) is correct and has the unique solution \( \hat{A}^{-1}f(t) = \int_0^t (t-x)f(x)dx. \) By comparing (36), (39) with (14), it is natural to take \( g_1 = 4t, g_2 = 2t + 1, g = (g_1, g_2) = (4t, 2t + 1), f = 1 - 5t, N = \begin{pmatrix}
  3/2 & 0 \\
  0 & -1/7
\end{pmatrix}, \)
\[
\Phi(u) = \begin{pmatrix}
  \Phi_1(u) \\
  \Phi_2(u)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi(u) = \begin{pmatrix}
  \Psi_1(u) \\
  \Psi_2(u)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad F(Au) = \begin{pmatrix}
  F_1(Au) \\
  F_2(Au)
\end{pmatrix},
\]
where \( \Phi_1(u) = u(0), \Phi_2(u) = u'(0), \Psi_1(u) = u(1/2), \Psi_2(u) = u(1), \)
\[
F_1(Au) = \int_0^1 x^2 u''(x) dx, \quad F_2(Au) = \int_0^1 (3x+2) u''(x) dx. \quad \text{Then } F_1(f) =
\]
\[
= \int_0^1 x^2 f(x) dx, \quad F_2(f) = \int_0^1 (3x+2) f(x) dx. \text{ It is evident that the vector } z = (1, t)
\]
is biorthogonal to \( (\Phi_1, \Phi_2). \) and that \( |F_1(f)| \leq ||f||, |F_2(f)| \leq 5||f|| \) for all \( f \in C[0, 1]. \) So \( F_1, F_2 \in C^*[0, 1] = X^*. \) Because of \( ||\Psi(u)|| = ||u(t_0)|| \leq \leq ||u(t)|| \leq ||u(t)|| + ||u''(t)|| = ||u(t)||_{X_A}, \) we conclude that \( \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in [X_A]^*. \)

In the same way is proved that \( \Phi_1 \in [X_A]^*. \) Now by using Inequality (1), for all \( u \in C^2[0, 1] \) we obtain \( ||\Phi_2(u)|| = ||u'(0)|| \leq ||u'(t)|| \leq ||u''(t)|| + 2||u(t)|| \leq \leq 2(||u''(t)|| + ||u(t)||) = 2||u(t)||_{X_A}. \)

This proves that \( \Phi_2 \in [X_A]^*. \) The conditions 1.1–1.6 of Remark 2.8 hold true and so we can apply Theorem 2.6. Now we calculate \( \hat{A}^{-1}g_1(t) =
\]
\[
= \int_0^1 (t-x)4x dx = 2t^3 / 3, \hat{A}^{-1}g_2(t) = \int_0^1 (t-x)(2x+1) dx = 1 / 6t^2 (2t+3),
\]
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\[\Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_1(\hat{A}^{-1}g_1) \\ \Psi_2(\hat{A}^{-1}g_1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_1(\hat{A}^{-1}g_2) \\ \Psi_2(\hat{A}^{-1}g_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{A}^{-1}g_1)(1/2) \\ (\hat{A}^{-1}g_1)(1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{A}^{-1}g_2)(1/2) \\ (\hat{A}^{-1}g_2)(1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/12 & 1/6 \\ 2/3 & 5/6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Psi(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_1(z_1) \\ \Psi_2(z_1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_1(z_2) \\ \Psi_2(z_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[F(g) = \begin{bmatrix} F_1(g_1) \\ F_2(g_1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_1(g_2) \\ F_2(g_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5/6 \\ 8 & 15/2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_2 = I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) - \Psi(z)NF(g) = \begin{bmatrix} -1/84 & -37/42 \\ -43/42 & -1/84 \end{bmatrix}. \]

Since \(\det L_2 \neq 0\), by Theorem 2.6, the problem (36), (37) is correct. The inverse matrix \(L_2^{-1} = \frac{1}{303} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -296 \\ -344 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\). For \(f(t) = 1-5t\) we compute

\[\hat{A}^{-1}f(t) = \int_0^t (t-x)(1-5x)dx = 1/6t^2 (3-5t), \]

\[F_1(f) = \int_0^t x^2 (1-5x)dx = -11/12, \quad F_2(f) = \int_0^t (3x+2)(1-5x)dx = -13/2, \]

\[F(f) = \text{col}(-11/12, -13/2), \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) = \text{col}(\Psi_1(\hat{A}^{-1}f), \Psi_2(\hat{A}^{-1}f)) = (1/48, -1/3). \]

From (17) we get \(p = [\hat{A}^{-1}g + zNF(g)] \)

\[L_2^{-1} = -1/303(4(28t^3 + 43t^2 - 91t + 106), 196t^3 - 2t^2 - 334t + 439). \]

From (16), by Theorem 2.6, we obtain the unique solution

\[u(t) = \frac{1}{6} t^2 (3-5t) - \frac{1}{303} \left(4(28t^3 + 43t^2 - 91t + 106), 196t^3 - 2t^2 - 334t + 439 \right) \times \begin{bmatrix} 1/48 \\ -1/3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1/12 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -11/12 \\ -13/2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ which yields (38).} \]

**Example 3.2.** The next problem with differential equation and non-local integral boundary conditions on \(C[0, 1]\)

\[u''(t) = -\pi^2 \cos(\pi t), \quad (40)\]

\[u(0) = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 x^2u''(x)dx, \quad u'(0) = \frac{3}{4} \int_0^1 (2x+3)u''(x)dx, \]

\[u(1) = 0. \]
is correct and the unique solution of (40) is given by
\[ u(t) = \cos(\pi t) + 3t + 2. \] (41)

**Proof.** First we rewrite the boundary conditions (40) in the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  u(0) \\
  u'(0)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
  3/2 & 0 \\
  0 & 3/4
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
  \int_{0}^{1} x^2 u''(x) dx \\
  \int_{0}^{1} (2x + 3) u''(x) dx
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (42)

If we compare (40), (42) with (32), it is natural to take the spaces \( X, Y, X_A, \) the operators \( A, \hat{A}, \hat{A}^{-1}, \) the vectors \( z, \Phi_1, \Phi_2, F_1 \) as in Example 3.1, \( f = -\pi^2 \cos(\pi t), N = \begin{pmatrix}
  3/2 & 0 \\
  0 & 3/4
\end{pmatrix}, F_2(Au) = \int_{0}^{1} (2x + 3) u''(x) dx. \) Then

\[ F_2(f) = \int_{0}^{1} (2x + 3) f(x) dx. \] Note that for all \( f(t) \in C[0, 1] \) it follows that
\[ |F_2(f)| \leq 5||f(t)|| \] and so \( F_2 \in C^*[0, 1]. \) For \( f(t) = -\pi^2 \cos(\pi t) \) we compute
\[ \hat{A}^{-1} f(t) = -\pi^2 \int_{0}^{1} (t - x) \cos(\pi x) dx = \cos(\pi t) - 1, F_1(f) = -\pi^2 \int_{0}^{1} x^2 \cos(\pi x) dx = 2, \]
\[ F_2 = -\pi^2 \int_{0}^{1} (2x + 3 \cos(\pi x) dx = 4. \] By Corollary 2.7, the problem (40) is correct. Next as in Example 3.1 is proved that \( F_2 \in X^*. \) From (33), by Corollary 2.7, we obtain the unique solution
\[ u(t) = \cos(\pi t) - 1 + (1, t) \begin{pmatrix}
  3/2 & 0 \\
  0 & 3/4
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
  2 \\
  4
\end{pmatrix}, \]
which yields (41).

**Example 3.3.** The next problem with loaded integro-differential equation and nonlocal integral boundary conditions on \( C[0, 1] \)
\[ u''(t) - 4\pi^2 \cos(\pi t) u(1) + \frac{\pi}{6} \sin(\pi t) \int_{0}^{1} u(x) dx = 6\pi^2 \cos(\pi t) + (3\pi^2 - 1) \sin(\pi t), \]
\[ u(0) = -\frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_{0}^{1} \cos(\pi x) u''(x) dx, \quad u'(0) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \sin(\pi x) u''(x) dx, \] (43)
is correct and the unique solution of (43) is given by

\[ u(t) = 2 \cos(\pi t) - 3 \sin(\pi t). \] (44)

**Proof.** First we rewrite the boundary conditions (43) in the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    u(0) \\
    u'(0)
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
    -2/\pi^2 & 0 \\
    0 & -2/\pi
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
    \int_0^1 \cos(\pi x) u''(x) dx \\
    \int_0^1 \sin(\pi x) u''(x) dx
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (45)

If we compare (43), (4.5) with (14), it is natural to take the spaces \(X, Y, X_A, Y_A\), the operators \(A, \hat{A}, \hat{A}^{-1}\), the vectors \(z, \Phi_1, \Phi_2\) as in Ex. 3.1, \(g_1 = 4\pi^2 \cos(\pi t)\), \(g_2 = -(\pi/6) \sin(\pi t)\), \(f = 6\pi^2 \cos(\pi t) + (3\pi^2 - 1) \sin(\pi t)\),

\[ F(Au) = \begin{pmatrix} F_1(Au) \\ F_2(Au) \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} -2/\pi^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2/\pi \end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ F_1(Au) = \int_0^1 \cos(\pi x) u''(x) dx, \quad F_2(Au) = \int_0^1 \sin(\pi x) u''(x) dx. \] Then

\[ F_1(f) = \int_0^1 \cos(\pi x) f(x) dx, \quad F_2(f) = \int_0^1 \sin(\pi x) f(x) dx. \] Further, we take

\[ \Psi_1(u) = u(1), \quad \Psi_2(u) = \int_0^1 u(x) dx. \] It is evident that \( |F_i(f)| \leq ||f(t)|| \) for all \( f(t) \in C[0, 1], \) \( i = 1, 2. \) Such \( F_i \in C^*[0, 1], \) \( i = 1, 2. \) We proved in Ex. 3.1 that the functional defined by \( \Psi(u) = u(1) \) belongs to \( X_A^* \). So \( \Psi_1 \in X_A^* \). From Theorem 2.3 it follows that \( \Psi_2 \in C^*[0, 1]. \) Then for all \( u(t) \in X_A \) we get

\[ |\Psi_2(u)| \leq k||u(t)|| \leq k(||u(t)|| + ||u''(t)||) = k||u(t)||_{X_A}. \] So \( \Psi_2 \in X_A^* \).

Further we calculate

\[ \hat{A}^{-1} g_1(t) = 4\pi^2 \int_0^t (t-x) \cos(\pi x) dx = 4 - 4\cos(\pi t), \]

\[ \hat{A}^{-1} g_2(t) = -\frac{\pi}{6} \int_0^t (t-x) \sin(\pi x) dx = \frac{\sin(\pi t)}{6\pi} \frac{t}{6} - \frac{t}{6}, \quad \Psi_1(\hat{A}^{-1} g_1) = (\hat{A}^{-1} g_1)(1) = 8, \]

\[ \Psi_1(\hat{A}^{-1} g_2) = (\hat{A}^{-1} g_2)(1) = -1/6, \quad \Psi_2(\hat{A}^{-1} g_1) = \int_0^1 \hat{A}^{-1} g_1(x) dx = 4, \]

\[ \Psi_2(\hat{A}^{-1} g_2) = \int_0^1 \hat{A}^{-1} g_2(x) dx = -1/12. \] So
\[ \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \Psi_1(\hat{A}^{-1}g_1) \\ \Psi_2(\hat{A}^{-1}g_1) \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 4 \end{array} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{6}. \] Also we compute
\[ F(g) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} F_1(g_1) \\ F_2(g_1) \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 2\pi^2 \\ 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \Psi(z) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \Psi_1(z_1) \\ \Psi_2(z_1) \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 \\ 1/2 \end{array} \right), \quad L_2 = I_m - \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}g) - \Psi(z)NF(g) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -3 \\ 0 \end{array} \right). \]

Since \( L_2 \neq 0 \), by Theorem 2.6, the problem (43) is correct. For \( f(t) = 6\pi^2\cos(\pi t) + (3\pi^2-1) \sin(\pi t) \) we compute \( F_1(f) = 3\pi^2, F_2(f) = \frac{3\pi^2-1}{2}, \)
\[ \hat{A}^{-1}f(t) = -6\cos(\pi t) + \frac{1-3\pi^2}{\pi^2} \sin(\pi t) + \frac{3\pi^2-1}{\pi} t + 6. \]
\[ \Psi(\hat{A}^{-1}f) = \text{col}(\Psi_1(\hat{A}^{-1}f), \Psi_2(\hat{A}^{-1}f)) = \text{col} \left( \frac{3\pi^2+12\pi-1}{\pi}, \frac{3\pi^4+12\pi^3-13\pi^2+4}{2\pi^3} \right). \]

Next we find \( L_2 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -1/3 \\ 0 \end{array} \right), \quad p = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 4\cos(\pi t) \\ \pi \sin(\pi t) \end{array} \right). \]

Substituting these values into (16), we obtain the unique solution (44).
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